

# STATE OF WISCONSIN Division of Hearings and Appeals

In the Matter of



DECISION

Case #: FCP - 175272

# **PRELIMINARY RECITALS**

Pursuant to a petition filed on June 29, 2016, under Wis. Admin. Code § DHS 10.55, to review a decision by the Western Wisconsin Cares-FCP regarding Medical Assistance (MA), a hearing was held on August 16, 2016, by telephone.

The issue for determination is whether the agency erred in determining that companion care funds should be reduced from \$125 per day to \$40.28 effective 6/9/16.

There appeared at that time the following persons:

#### PARTIES IN INTEREST:

Petitioner:



# Respondent:

Department of Health Services 1 West Wilson Street, Room 651 Madison, WI 53703

By:

Western Wisconsin Cares-FCP, WI

#### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

John P. Tedesco Division of Hearings and Appeals

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1. Petitioner (CARES # is a resident of La Crosse County.
- 2. Petitioner had previously been receiving a daily rate for companion care/supportive home hare.

- 3. Western Wisconsin Cares issued a notice on 5/23/16 informing petitioner that his companion care funds would be reduced from \$125 per day to \$40.28 effective 6/9/16. The bases stated for the reduction was the reduced need for wound care, repositioning, and bowel care.
- 4. Petitioner filed a grievance with WWC and the decision was upheld.
- 5. Petitioner filed a request for hearing to DHA.

## **DISCUSSION**

The Family Care program, which is supervised by the Department of Health Services, is designed to provide appropriate long-term care services for elderly or disabled adults. It is authorized in the Wisconsin Statutes § 46.286, and is described comprehensively in the Wisconsin Administrative Code, Chapter DHS 10.

The CMO must develop an Individual Service Plan (ISP) in partnership with the client. Wis. Adm. Code § DHS 10.44(2)(f). The ISP must reasonably and effectively address all of the client's long-term needs and outcomes to assist the client to be as self-reliant and autonomous as possible, but nevertheless must be cost effective. While the client has input, the CMO does not have to provide all services the client desires if there are less expensive alternatives to achieve the same results. Wis. Admin. Code § DHS 10.44(1)(f); DHS booklet, Being a Full Partner in Family Care, page 9. ISPs must be reviewed periodically. Adm. Code, §DHS 10.44(j)(5).

Wis. Stat., §46.287(2)(a)1 provides that a person may request a fair hearing to contest the reduction of services under the FCP program, among other things, directly to the Division of Hearings and Appeals. In addition, the participant can file a grievance with the CMO over any decision, omission, or action of the CMO. The grievance committee shall review and attempt to resolve the dispute. If the dispute is not resolved to the participant's satisfaction, she may then request a hearing with the Division of Hearings and Appeals. Because a service reduction is sought here, the Petitioner appropriately sought a fair hearing for a further, de novo review of the CMO decision. Wis. Admin. Code §DHS 10.55(1). It is the agency's burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the reduction in services and hours is appropriate.

The state code language on the scope of permissible services for the FC reads as follows:

DHS 10.41 Family care services. ...

(2) SERVICES. Services provided under the family care benefit shall be determined through individual assessment of enrollee needs and values and detailed in an individual service plan unique to each enrollee. As appropriate to its target population and as specified in the department's contract, each CMO shall have available at least the services and support items covered under the home and community-based waivers under 42 USC 1396n(c) and ss.46.275, 46.277 and 46.278, Stat., the long-term support services and support items under the state's plan for medical assistance. In addition, a CMO may provide other services that substitute for or augment the specified services if these services are cost-effective and meet the needs of enrollees as identified through the individual assessment and service plan.

Note: The services that typically will be required to be available include adaptive aids; adult day care; assessment and case planning; case management; communication aids and interpreter services; counseling and therapeutic resources; daily living skills training; day

services and treatment; home health services; home modification; home delivered and congregate meal services; nursing services; nursing home services, including care in an intermediate care facility for the mentally retarded or in an institution for mental diseases; personal care services; personal emergency response system services; prevocational services; protective payment and guardianship services; residential services in an RCAC, CBRF or AFH; respite care; durable medical equipment and specialized medical supplies; outpatient speech; physical and occupational therapy; supported employment; supportive home care; transportation services; mental health and alcohol or other drug abuse services; and community support program services.

Wis. Admin. Code §DHS 10.41(2).

The skeletal legal guidance that pertains to determining the type and quantity of daily care services that must be placed in an individualized service plan (ISP) is as follows:

DHS 10.44 Standards for performance by CMOs.

. . .

(2) CASE MANAGEMENT STANDARDS. The CMO shall provide case management services that meet all of the following standards:

• • •

- (f) The CMO, in partnership with the enrollee, shall develop an individual service plan for each enrollee, with the full participation of the enrollee and any family members or other representatives that the enrollee wishes to participate. ... The service plan shall meet all of the following conditions:
- 1. Reasonably and effectively addresses all of the long-term care needs and utilizes all enrollee strengths and informal supports identified in the comprehensive assessment under par. (e)1.
- 2. Reasonably and effectively addresses all of the enrollee's long-term care outcomes identified in the comprehensive assessment under par. (e)2 and assists the enrollee to be as self-reliant and autonomous as possible and desired by the enrollee.
- 3. Is cost-effective compared to alternative services or supports that could meet the same needs and achieve similar outcomes.

. . .

Wis. Admin. Code §DHS 10.44(2)(f).

At hearing, the agency explained that petitioner's needs have changed over time and this justifies and reduction in services. For example, petitioner had previously been granted time for bowel care in the amount of 10 hours per week which is no longer required. Petitioner's argument did not center around his particular needs or his improved condition. Instead, petitioner argued that a reduction in hours will make him less financially attractive to caregivers and that it will be difficult for him to employ and retain quality caregivers because they will not be as interested in working for him as he has fewer hours (and funds) available. But, this is not a factor for the determination of how many hours of care to grant a member. The member's hours need not meet some minimum threshold to ensure that his caregivers more easily recruited and retained. Necessity and appropriateness of service levels cannot simply be arbitrarily inflated. The FC program has an obligation to seek cost-effective options and granting dollars that are not justified does not meet this criterion. Petitioner did not make any persuasive argument or support such argument with reliable objective evidence. I did consider the letters from Dr. and Dr. contained in Exhibit #2, but those letters lack specificity and simply assert that petitioner needs assistance

with various ADL's. It is unclear that the physician knows that petitioner will continue to get services at a reduced amount. Furthermore, alternative services were offered to petitioner and he has declined most or all of these. Petitioner was unspecific in his suggestion for granted time stating: "pretty much to stay as it is, maybe slightly modified but not to the degree it is at now...the proposed cuts" Petitioner simply appears to be unwilling to accept less than what he wants without providing adequate justification for the time he wants.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The agency did not err in its reduction of SHC/Companion Care hours.

## THEREFORE, it is

## **ORDERED**

This appeal is dismissed.

## REQUEST FOR A REHEARING

You may request a rehearing if you think this decision is based on a serious mistake in the facts or the law or if you have found new evidence that would change the decision. Your request must be **received** within 20 days after the date of this decision. Late requests cannot be granted.

Send your request for rehearing in writing to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, 5005 University Avenue, Suite 201, Madison, WI 53705-5400 **and** to those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST." Your rehearing request must explain what mistake the Administrative Law Judge made and why it is important or you must describe your new evidence and explain why you did not have it at your first hearing. If your request does not explain these things, it will be denied.

The process for requesting a rehearing may be found at Wis. Stat. § 227.49. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

## APPEAL TO COURT

You may also appeal this decision to Circuit Court in the county where you live. Appeals must be filed with the Court **and** served either personally or by certified mail on the Secretary of the Department of Health Services, 1 West Wilson Street, Room 651, **and** on those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST" **no more than 30 days after the date of this decision** or 30 days after a denial of a timely rehearing (if you request one).

The process for Circuit Court Appeals may be found at Wis. Stat. §§ 227.52 and 227.53. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

Given under my hand at the City of Madison, Wisconsin, this 20th day of September, 2016

\s\_\_\_\_\_

John P. Tedesco Administrative Law Judge Division of Hearings and Appeals



# State of Wisconsin\DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

Brian Hayes, Administrator Suite 201 5005 University Avenue Madison, WI 53705-5400 Telephone: (608) 266-3096 FAX: (608) 264-9885 email: DHAmail@wisconsin.gov Internet: http://dha.state.wi.us

The preceding decision was sent to the following parties on September 20, 2016.

Western Wisconsin Cares-FCP
Office of Family Care Expansion
Health Care Access and Accountability